
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

**PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 14, 2011

Gevo, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation)

001-35073
Commission
File Number

87-0747704
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

345 Inverness Drive South, Building C, Suite 310, Englewood, CO 80112
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 858-8358

N/A
(Former Name, or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-
-

Item 1.01. Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement.

Joint Venture Agreement; Restated Operating Agreement of Redfield Energy, LLC; Guaranty.

On June 15, 2011, Gevo Development, LLC (“**Gevo Development**”), a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Gevo, Inc. (the “**Company**”), and Redfield Energy, LLC, a South Dakota limited liability company (“**Redfield**”), entered into an Isobutanol Joint Venture Agreement (the “**Joint Venture Agreement**”) and the Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of Redfield (the “**Restated Operating Agreement**”) and, together with the Joint Venture Agreement, the “**Joint Venture Documents**”), pursuant to which, Redfield and Gevo Development have agreed to work together in a joint venture for the purpose of retrofitting Redfield’s approximately 50 million gallon per year ethanol production facility located near Redfield, South Dakota for the commercial production of isobutanol (the “**Retrofit**”).

Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture Agreement, Redfield has issued 100 Class G membership units (the “**Class G Units**”) to Gevo Development. Gevo Development is the sole holder of Class G Units which entitle Gevo Development to certain information and governance rights with respect to Redfield, including the right to appoint two members of Redfield’s 11-member board of managers. The Class G units currently carry no interest in the allocation of profits, losses or other distributions of Redfield and no voting rights, such rights will vest upon the commencement of commercial isobutanol production at the Redfield facility.

Gevo Development and Redfield have agreed to cooperate with each other and work together during each phase of the Retrofit to ensure that the Retrofit is completed in a timely and efficient manner and that the final production process is operable, maintainable and safe. Gevo Development and Redfield anticipate that the Redfield facility will continue its current ethanol production activities during much of the Retrofit. Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture Agreement, Gevo Development will be responsible for all costs associated with the Retrofit; *provided*, that Redfield will remain responsible for certain expenses incurred by the facility including, without limitation, certain repair and maintenance expenses and any costs necessary to ensure that the facility is in compliance with applicable environmental laws.

Assuming that certain conditions precedent set forth in the Joint Venture Agreement have been met, commercial production of isobutanol at the Redfield facility will begin upon the earlier of the date upon which certain production targets have been met or the date upon which the parties mutually agree that commercial isobutanol production will be commercially viable at the then-current production rate. At that time, (i) Gevo Development will have the right to appoint a total of four members of Redfield’s 11-member board of managers, and (ii) the voting and economic interests of the Class G units will vest and Gevo Development, as the sole holder of the Class G Units, will be entitled to a percentage of Redfield’s profits, losses and distributions, to be calculated based upon the demonstrated isobutanol production capabilities of the Redfield facility.

The Joint Venture Documents also provide that, so long as Gevo Development owns the Class G Units, Gevo Development, or one of its affiliates, will be the exclusive marketer of all products produced by the facility once commercial production of isobutanol has begun. Additionally, the technology necessary to produce isobutanol at the Redfield facility will be licensed to Redfield by the Company, subject to the continuation of the marketing arrangement described above. In the event that the isobutanol production technology fails or Redfield is permanently prohibited from using such technology, Gevo Development will forfeit the Class G Units and lose the value of its investment in Redfield.

In connection with the execution of the Joint Venture Documents, the Company entered into a guaranty effective as of June 15, 2011 (the “**Guaranty**”), pursuant to which it has unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed the payment by Gevo Development of any and all amounts owed by Gevo Development pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Joint Venture Agreement and certain other agreements that Gevo Development and Redfield expect to enter into in connection with the Retrofit.

The above descriptions are summaries and are qualified in their entirety by the terms of the Joint Venture Documents and the Guaranty, which will be filed with the Company’s next Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 5.02. Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

(b) Election and Resignation of Director.

On June 14, 2011, effective upon his election and qualification to serve as a Class I director of the Company, as further described in Item 5.07 below, Patrick Gruber, Ph.D. resigned from his position as a Class III director of the Company. Dr. Gruber's move from Class III to Class I will help to ensure that each class of the board of directors of the Company (the "**Board of Directors**") consists, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of directors constituting the entire Board of Directors. The Board of Directors intends to search for a suitable candidate to fill the resulting vacancy in Class III created by the resignation of Dr. Gruber from that class.

(c) Appointment of Officer.

On June 14, 2011, the Company promoted Christopher Ryan, Ph.D. to President and Chief Operating Officer. Dr. Ryan previously served as the Company's Executive Vice President, Business Development. The terms of Dr. Ryan's employment remain unchanged with the exception of the change in title and related responsibilities.

Dr. Ryan, 50, had served as Executive Vice President, Business Development, of the Company since June 2009. Prior to joining the Company, he co-founded NatureWorks LLC in 1997. Dr. Ryan served as Chief Operating Officer for NatureWorks from 2008 to 2009 and Chief Technology Officer for NatureWorks from 2005 to 2008, where he was involved in the development and commercialization of the company's new biobased polymer from lab-scale production in 1992 through the completion of a \$300 million world-scale production facility. Prior to 1992, Dr. Ryan served for four years in Corporate R&D for specialty chemical company HB Fuller Company. He has over 20 years of experience in strategic leadership, business development and research and product development in biobased materials. Dr. Ryan holds a Ph.D. in organic chemistry from the University of Minnesota, a B.S. in chemistry from Gustavus Adolphus College and completed the Management of Technology program at the University of Minnesota.

Item 5.07. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

On June 14, 2011, the Company held its annual meeting of stockholders (the "**Annual Meeting**"). As of the record date for the Annual Meeting, there were 25,963,377 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding. At the Annual Meeting, the holders of 24,221,424 shares were represented in person or by proxy. Set forth below is a brief description of each matter acted upon by the stockholders of the Company at the Annual Meeting and the final voting results for each such proposal. These proposals are set out in more detail in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2011 (the "**2011 Proxy Statement**").

1. The stockholders considered a proposal to elect each of Ganesh M. Kishore, Ph.D. and Patrick R. Gruber, Ph.D. to serve as Class I members of the Board of Directors. The nominees for election to serve as Class I members of the Board of Directors were elected, each to serve for a three-year term, based upon the following votes:

<u>Nominee</u>	<u>Votes For</u>	<u>Votes Against</u>	<u>Votes Withheld</u>	<u>Broker Non-Votes</u>
Ganesh M. Kishore, Ph.D.	21,532,230	—	223,211	2,465,983
Patrick R. Gruber, Ph.D.	21,544,330	—	211,111	2,465,983

2. The stockholders considered a proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. This proposal was approved based upon the following votes:

Votes For 24,188,837

Votes Against	12,901
Abstentions	19,686

3. The stockholders considered a proposal to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as presented in the 2011 Proxy Statement. This proposal was approved based upon the following votes:

Votes For	20,664,030
Votes Against	1,046,358
Abstentions	45,053
Broker Non-Votes	2,465,983

4. The stockholders were asked to vote, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, on the frequency of the stockholder advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers. Based upon the following votes, the stockholders selected, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, every two years as the frequency with which the stockholders should be provided with future advisory votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers:

One-Year Frequency Vote	4,131,664
Two-Year Frequency Vote	16,688,032
Three-Year Frequency Vote	856,840
Abstentions	78,905
Broker Non-Votes	2,465,983

Consistent with these results and the Company's recommendation, the Company's Board of Directors has adopted a policy to hold an advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers on a biennial basis (*i.e.*, every two years), until the next required advisory vote on the frequency of stockholder votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Item 8.01. Other Events.

On June 16, 2011, the Company issued a press release announcing the execution of the Joint Venture Agreement described above. A copy of the press release is attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

99.1 Press release, dated June 16, 2011.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Current Report on Form 8-K may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements relate to a variety of matters, including but not limited to: the cost, timing and anticipated completion of the Retrofit, the ability of the Redfield facility to continue producing ethanol during the Retrofit, the benefits expected to result from the joint venture and the Retrofit, expected isobutanol production capacity and other statements that are not purely statements of historical fact. These forward-looking statements are made on the basis of the current beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the management of the Company and are subject to significant risks and uncertainty. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. All such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise these statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained herein include, but are not limited to: unexpected costs, charges or expenses resulting from the joint venture or the Retrofit; difficulties associated with requests or directions from regulatory authorities related to the Retrofit; and any changes in general economic and/or industry-specific conditions. Additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements are set forth in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010, and in subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K and other filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission by the Company.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Gevo, Inc.

By: /s/ Brett Lund

Brett Lund

Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary

Date: June 16, 2011



Gevo Enters into Joint Venture with Redfield Energy to Retrofit Plant for Isobutanol

~ Brings Company Closer to Achieving 2015 Production Goal of 350 MGPY of Isobutanol~

Englewood, CO—June 16, 2011—Gevo, Inc. (NASDAQ: GEVO), a renewable chemicals and advanced biofuels company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Gevo Development, LLC, has entered into a joint venture transaction (“JV”) with Redfield Energy, LLC of Redfield, SD (“Redfield”), to retrofit Redfield’s existing ethanol plant into an isobutanol plant with an expected production capacity of approximately 38 million gallons per year (“MGPY”). The retrofit is expected to commence by year end 2011, and Gevo expects to begin commercial production of isobutanol at the facility in the fourth quarter of 2012.

“This transaction demonstrates the attractiveness of our joint venture model for potential partners. Isobutanol production creates more value, and across diversified markets. Our partners see that and value it,” reflected Patrick Gruber, Ph.D., CEO of Gevo. “Redfield is an exceptionally well-run and profitable plant. We appreciate the vision and leadership of the Redfield board and its cooperative membership and look forward to working with them on this business.”

Gevo will provide the technology and capital necessary to retrofit Redfield’s existing 50 MGPY ethanol facility and, in exchange, will receive an equity interest in Redfield. This JV validates the attractive economics for both Gevo and Redfield and is consistent with Gevo’s business plan.

“Isobutanol provides an excellent opportunity to expand our potential markets, improve our profit margins and create a more predictable and sustainable business,” said Tom Hitchcock, CEO of Redfield. “We are very happy to be working with Gevo to upgrade our facility to a second-generation biorefinery.”

Gevo broke ground on its first plant retrofit on May 31, 2011 in Luverne, MN, and is expected to bring its isobutanol capacity online in the first half of 2012. The Redfield plant will become Gevo’s second production facility and is expected to bring total annual isobutanol capacity for 2012 to approximately 60 MGPY. In May 2011, Gevo announced a letter of intent for its third plant, also a JV, for an additional expected 50 MGPY of isobutanol production. Gevo plans to have approximately 110 MGPY of isobutanol capacity online in 2013.

About Gevo

Gevo is developing capital efficient biorefinery systems to provide renewable, cost-effective building block products to the chemical and fuel industries. The Company seeks to convert

renewable raw materials into isobutanol and renewable hydrocarbons that can be directly integrated on a “drop in” basis into existing chemical and fuel products to deliver environmental and economic benefits. Gevo is committed to a sustainable biobased economy that meets society’s needs for plentiful food and clean air and water. For more information, visit www.gevo.com.

About Redfield Energy

Redfield owns a 50 MGPY ethanol production facility located two miles north of Redfield, SD. Redfield is a South Dakota LLC comprised of approximately 650 members.

Redfield’s ethanol facility is a dry mill facility, which uses ICM, Inc. technology and became operational in April 2007. The facility has the capacity to process approximately 18 million bushels of corn into ethanol per year. The plant also produces approximately 160,000 tons of modified wet and dried distiller’s grain.

Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this press release may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements relate to a variety of matters, including but not limited to the cost, timing and anticipated completion of the retrofit, the benefits expected to result from the joint venture and the retrofit, expected isobutanol production capacity and other statements that are not purely statements of historical fact. These forward-looking statements are made on the basis of the current beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the management of Gevo and are subject to significant risks and uncertainty. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. All such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the company undertakes no obligation to update or revise these statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Although the company believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, these statements involve many risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from what may be expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements. For a further discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed in these forward-looking statements, as well as risks relating to the business of Gevo in general, see the risk disclosures in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Gevo for the year ended December 31, 2010, and in subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K and other filings made with the SEC by Gevo.

###

Media:

Erin Howard
Peppercom
T: (212) 931-6174
ehoward@peppercom.com

Investors:

Julia Avery
Stern Investor Relations, Inc.

